

Project Summary/Abstract				
Abstract provides a concise description of every major aspect of the proposed project, including objectives and methods to be employed.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
Research Plan Part 1 -Specific Aims				
Goals, objectives, and hypothesis are adequately and concisely described. A specific patient health decision the research is intended to inform is stated (RQ-3).	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
The expected research outcomes are summarized, are measurable, and reflect outcomes that people representing the population of interest notice and care about; or a plan for determining the latter is included somewhere within this proposal (RQ-6)	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
Aims are connected to the overall R24 Research Theme.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
Proposed research seeks to impact specific disparities in health care outcomes and quality among an underserved population.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
Research Plan Part 2 –Significance				
Problem to be addressed by the proposed research is adequately and concisely defined.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
The importance of the problem to be addressed is adequately and concisely explained and either includes the input of patient populations of interest and other relevant stakeholders or presents a viable plan for doing so (PC-1).	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
The state of existing knowledge concerning the proposed research is adequately and concisely described; gaps in existing knowledge which the research will fill are explained (RQ-1).	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
The prior research conducted by and/or experience of the PI is germane to the proposed research.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
The prior research conducted by research collaborators is germane to the proposed research.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
Research Plan Part 3 –Innovation				
Research concepts and methods are novel to the research field or used in a novel way; the project will change current research or clinical practice paradigms; it is clear why this approach is needed in lieu of other approaches.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree

Research includes plans for including stakeholder groups and partners that are not generally part of healthcare research discussions in the community or engages with others in a novel way.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
The potential contribution of this research to the scientific field(s) and public health is adequately and concisely described; references to current literature adequately supports the use of the innovative aspects of the research (RQ-1).	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
Research Plan Part 4 –Approach				
The experimental design is described adequately and concisely.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
Potential difficulties and limitations are adequately and concisely described, along with a discussion of how these will be overcome and/or mitigated.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
There is a clear work plan with timeline for implementation of the project.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
There is a plan for translating findings for a broad-base of stakeholders who have a use for the knowledge being developed (PC-4).	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
The approach includes an adequate and concise summary of the specific data to be collected, along with the methods by which the study data will be collected, analyzed, and interpreted; study utilizes patient reported outcomes as appropriate (PC-3).	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
The approach includes appropriate and adequate comparator groups (RQ-5).	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
Study participants are representative of the spectrum of the population of interest; or a viable plan for doing so has been presented (PC-2)	Strongly Agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Disagree
PCORI Focus Areas				
<i>From reading the Abstract and Research Plan, how well does the proposal address each of the following PCORI areas of interest?</i>				
Assessment of Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment Options <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comparing the effectiveness and safety of alternative prevention, diagnosis, and treatment options to see which ones work best for different people with a particular health problem. 	Very Well	Good	Somewhat	Not at all
Improving Healthcare Systems <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comparing health system---level approaches to improving access, supporting patient self---care, innovative use of health information technology, coordinating care for complex conditions, and deploying workforce effectively. 	Very Well	Good	Somewhat	Not at all

Communication and Dissemination Research <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comparing approaches to providing comparative effectiveness research information, empowering people to ask for and use the information, and supporting shared decision-making between patients and their providers. 	Very Well	Good	Somewhat	Not at all
Addressing Disparities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifying potential differences in prevention, diagnosis or treatment effectiveness, or preferred clinical outcomes across patient populations and the healthcare required to achieve best outcomes in each population. 	Very Well	Good	Somewhat	Not at all
Patient Populations				
<i>Which of the following patient population(s) does the Abstract/Research Plan address? (check all that apply.)</i>				
Rural				
Frontier				
Underinsured				
Underserved				
Other:		Text Box		